





## UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

DATE MAILED:

APPLICATION NO. **FILING DATE** FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 08/890,894 07/10/97 CHAUVEL G TIF-15767A **EXAMINER** 023494 TM02/0117 TEXAS INSTRUMENTS INCORPORATED TRAN, D P O BOX 655474, M/S 3999 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER DALLAS TX 75265 2186

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 

01/17/01

## Notification of Non-Compliance With 37 CFR 1.192(c)

Application No.		Applicant(s)	
08/890,894		CHAUVEL ET AL.	
Examiner		Art Unit	
Denise Tran		2186	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address--

The Appeal Brief filed on <u>18 October 2000</u> is defective for failure to comply with one or more provisions of 37 CFR 1.192(c). See MPEP § 1206.

To avoid dismissal of the appeal, applicant must file IN TRIPLICATE a complete new brief in compliance with 37 CFR 1.192 (c) within the longest of any of the following three TIME PERIODS: (1)ONE MONTH or THIRTY DAYS from the mailing date of this Notification, whichever is longer; (2) TWO MONTHS from the date of the notice of appeal; or (3) within the period for reply to the action from which this appeal was taken. EXTENTIONS OF THESE TIME PERIODS MAY BE GRANTED UNDER 37 CFR 1.136.

1.		The brief does not contain the items required under 37 CFR 1.192(c), or the items are not under the proper heading or in the proper order.
2.		The brief does not contain a statement of the status of all claims, pending or cancelled, or does not identify the appealed claims (37 CFR 1.192(c)(3)).
3.		At least one amendment has been filed subsequent to the final rejection, and the brief does not contain a statement of the status of each such amendment (37 CFR 1.192(c)(4)).
4.	$\boxtimes$	The brief does not contain a concise explanation of the claimed invention, referring to the specification by page and line number and to the drawing, if any, by reference characters (37 CFR 1.192(c)(5)).
5.		The brief does not contain a concise statement of the issues presented for review (37 CFR 1.192(c)(6)).
6.		A single ground of rejection has been applied to two or more claims in this application, and
	(a)	the brief omits the statement required by 37 CFR 1.192(c)(7) that one or more claims do not stand or fall together, yet presents arguments in support thereof in the argument section of the brief.
	(b)	the brief includes the statement required by 37 CFR 1.192(c) (7) that one or more claims do not stand or fa together, yet does not present arguments in support thereof in the argument section of the brief.
7.		The brief does not present an argument under a separate heading for each issue on appeal (37 CFR 1.192(c)(8))
8.	$\boxtimes$	The brief does not contain a correct copy of the appealed claims as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 1.192(c)(9)).
9.		Other (including any explanation in support of the above items):
		Regarding to 4 above, the Summary of invention does not contain a concise explanation of the invention defined in the claimes involved in the appeal, which shall refer to the specification by page and line number and to the drawing, if any, by reference characters. In addition, it is appeared that the phrase "one and only one common memory" is not referred to the the reference "(10)" as cited in the Summary of invention.
		Regarding to 8 above, there is no claim 8 in the appendix and claim 17 is not a correct copy of the appealed claim as the appendix.

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100